	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/035,032	FUNAMOTO ET AL.
Notice of Allowability	Examiner	Art Unit
	Thoi V Duong	2871
	Thor v Duorig	2071
The MAILING DATE of this communication app all claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS Is berewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85 IOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT Is if the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.37	S (OR REMAINS) CLOSED i 5) or other appropriate comm RIGHTS. This application is	n this application. If not included unication will be mailed in due course. THIS
. Main This communication is responsive to the amendment filed	d January 21, 2004	
P. ☑ The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1 and 3-22</u> .		
B. \boxtimes The drawings filed on <u>27 December 2001</u> are accepted by	y the Examiner.	
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority of a Mall b Some* c None of the: 1.	ve been received. ve been received in Application documents have been received. E" of this communication to fill IMENT of this application. Imitted. Note the attached EX ives reason(s) why the oath of the submitted. Erson's Patent Drawing Review or ser's Amendment / Comment of the header according to 37 Coosit of BIOLOGICAL MAT	on No ed in this national stage application from the e a reply complying with the requirements CAMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF or declaration is deficient. ew (PTO-948) attached or in the Office action of the drawings in the front (not the back) of FR 1.121(d). ERIAL must be submitted. Note the
Attachment(s) 1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No./Mail Date 4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposi of Biological Material	8) 6. ☐ Interview S Paper No 3/08), 7. ☐ Examiner's	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) Summary (PTO-413), ./Mail Date s Amendment/Comment s Statement of Reasons for Allowance

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in response to the Amendment filed January 21, 2004.
 Accordingly, claims 1 and 22 were amended, and claim 2 was cancelled.
 Currently, claims 1 and 3-22 are pending in this application.

Allowable Subject Matter

2. Claims 1 and 3-22 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: none of the prior art of record fairly suggests or shows all of the limitations as claimed. Specifically,

Re claims 1 and 22, none of the prior art of record discloses, in combination with other limitations as claimed, a reflection type display apparatus as well as a light reflecting method comprising a reflection plane being subdivided into both a first region capable of reflecting thereon light which is obliquely entered from the forward lightening apparatus along the reflection direction and a second region capable of reflecting thereon external light which is entered at a substantially right angle along said reflection direction, wherein both the lights are projected along the substantially same reflection direction and wherein the first region accounts for a smaller portion of the reflection plane than the second region.

The most revelant references, JP 10-268306 of Takei et al. and USPN 5,841,496 of Itoh et al., fail to disclose or suggest the first region accounting for a smaller portion of the reflection plane than the second region. The Takei's reference only discloses a reflection plane comprising one region reflecting both the incident light from the light source and the external light along the substantially same reflection direction as shown

Application/Control Number: 10/035,032

Art Unit: 2871

in Fig. 1. Meanwhile, the Itoh et al. reference discloses a reflection plane comprising a

plurality of convex and concave regions, wherein light entering from different directions

is reflected towards the user along the substantially same reflection direction as shown

in Fig. 29.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later

than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably

accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on

Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Thoi V. Duong whose telephone number is (571) 272-

2292. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 am to 4:30

pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Robert Kim, can be reached at (571) 272-2293.

Thoi Duong

04/19/2004

JT. NGUYEN

Page 3

ARY EXAMINER